

Exhibit B – Proposed Constructions for Disputed Terms

Term #	Claim(s)¹	Claim Term(s)	Droplets' Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction
5	1, 17, 70, 76, 77	“operating environment”	“operating system, user interface, or hardware capabilities”	“operating system, user interface, and hardware capabilities.”
7	1, 17, 26	“presenting applications” “presenting the application” “presenting said invoked application” “presenting of the application”	“presenting an interface enabling interaction with a remotely executing application”	“presenting an interface enabling interaction with an application”
8	17, 26	“presentation client program code” “presentation client”	“platform independent software, running on a client, that can present remotely stored applications and that can transmit user input to remotely stored applications”	“platform independent software, running on a client computer, that can (1) process user interface specifications for an application and (2) allow user interaction with that application”
9	26	“computer program code segments embedded with informational content stored at a second of said plurality of server computers”	Plain meaning. Alternatively: “instructions for a computer to execute embedded with informational content” This term is not indefinite.	Indefinite

¹ Includes the identified claim(s) and all dependent claims. Each Defendant joins in proposing terms for construction only to the extent that the term or phrase is included in a claim asserted against that Defendant. Droplets is asserting claims 1, 2, 17, 26, 28, 33, 36, 41, 43, 69, 77, 82, 85, 90, and 92 against Nordstrom and claims 1-6, 8-33, 35-54, 56-82, 84-99, and 101-104 against Yahoo.